July 2008



DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

2007–2008 School Year Reports

Dear School Board Members and School Personnel:

The Maine Comprehensive Assessment System is the State's measure of student progress in achieving the State standards, known as Learning Results, adopted by the Maine Legislature in 1997. The Maine Educational Assessment (MEA) is administered in grades 3 through 8 to meet these state assessment requirements. Since the spring of 2006, the SAT Reasoning TestTM (SAT) has been administered to students in their third year of high school in place of the MEA for state and federal purposes. The move from the MEA to the SAT in grade 11 was made to encourage all students in the goal of attaining college and high-level workplace readiness as well as to measure achievement. As last year, the mathematics portion of the SAT Reasoning TestTM was augmented with 18 additional mathematics items (the Math-A test) to more fully measure Maine's Learning Results. Additionally, Science and Technology testing resumed this year after a two-year hiatus. The combined tests form the Maine High School Assessment (MHSA).

Because the MHSA Science & Technology achievement level standards had not been revised in 2006 like all other disciplines, it was necessary to revise the standards for that discipline this spring. The new achievement level standards are the result of a comprehensive process informed by Maine teachers and reviewed by advisory committees. The achievement level standards for the 2008 Critical Reading, Writing and Mathematics sections of the MHSA were not changed.

These 2007-2008 Maine High School Assessment Summary Reports contain the results of student performance in critical reading, mathematics, writing, and science & technology reported according to the achievement standards described above and disaggregated by student and school characteristics. This report, together with individual student and subject-specific student roster reports, provides support for use in program evaluation and planning. All scores contained in these reports are included for Maine state and federal reporting purposes only. While scores for most students may also be used for college admission, scores for students who received accommodations during the test administration that exceeded those made available by the College Board are not college reportable.

The state results reflect scores based on SAT, Math-A, and Science & Technology test questions that were taken by over 15,000 students who were enrolled in their third year of high school across all Maine public schools. The MHSA employs a design that requires students to create a written response to a writing prompt, generate answers to open-ended mathematics and science & technology questions, and in all subjects, select answers to multiple-choice questions. More information about the design, history, and use of the SAT can be found at: http://www.maine.gov/education/sat_initiative/.

I look forward to working with you in support of our continued efforts to improve the quality and effectiveness of the instructional opportunities designed to help all students achieve the high standards of the Learning Results and graduate from any Maine high school prepared for college, career, and citizenship.

Susan A. Lendron

Sincerely,

Susan A. Gendron

Commissioner of Education



High School Report

Test Date: May 2008 10071142 ID:

SAU: Auburn School Department

Edward Little High School School:

Contents of the Report

The report is divided into six main sections including a section describing the students tested and a separate section for the results in each content area.

Topic	Page
Summary of Scores	2
Summary of Student Participation	3
Critical Reading Results	4-5
Mathematics Results	6-7
Writing Results	8-9
Science Results	10-11



SUMMARY OF SCORES


Test Date: May 2008


SAU: Auburn School Department School: Edward Little High School


Summary of School, SAU, and State Scores


Average Scaled Score

Year			
	School	SAU	State
Critical Reading 2006–2007 2007–2008	1139 1138	1139 1138	1141 1141
Mathematics 2006–2007 2007–2008	1139 1140	1139 1140	1140 1141
Writing 2006–2007 2007–2008	1138 1137	1138 1137	1141 1140
Science 2007–2008	1139	1139	1141











SUMMARY OF STUDENT PARTICIPATION

Test Date: May 2008

		En	rol	me	nt¹								CC	N	ΓΕΙ	NT.	AR	EΑ	PA	RT	TC	PA	TIC)N²						
CATEGORY OF	d	luring	j test	ing v	/indo	N		С	ritical	Read	ing				Mathe	ematic	s				Wri	ting					Sci	ence		
PARTICIPATION	Sch	hool	S	ΑU	Sta	ate	Sch	nool	S	AU	Sta	ate	Sch	nool	s	AU	Sta	ate	Scl	nool	S	AU	St	ate	Scl	nool	S	AU	Sta	ate
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Total number of students	266	100	265	100	15604	100	246	92	245	92	14875	96	255	96	254	96	15165	97	246	92	245	92	14869	96	249	94	248	94	14961	96
Ethnicity African American/Black	14	5	14	5	305	2	13	93	13	93	261	86	13	93	13	93	286	95	13	93	13	93	260	86	12	86	12	86	280	93
American Indian or Native Alaskan	1	0	1	0	103	1	1	100	1	100	95	93	1	100	1	100	97	95	1	100	1	100	95	93	1	100	1	100	93	91
Asian or Pacific Islander	4	2	4	2	215	1	3	75	3	75	194	90	4	100	4	100	202	94	3	75	3	75	194	90	4	100	4	100	200	93
Hispanic	2	1	2	1	140	1	1	50	1	50	118	84	2	100	2	100	123	88	1	50	1	50	118	84	2	100	2	100	120	86
Caucasian/White	245	92	244	92	14841	95	228	93	227	93	14207	96	235	96	234	96	14457	98	228	93	227	93	14202	96	230	94	229	94	14268	96
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Identified disability	32	12	33	12	2247	14	32	100	33	100	2065	93	32	100	33	100	2138	96	32	100	33	100	2060	92	32	100	33	100	2081	93
Current LEP	16	6	16	6	648	4	12	75	12	75	508	79	13	81	13	81	564	87	12	75	12	75	507	78	12	75	12	75	534	83
Economically disadvantaged	7	3	7	3	4028	26	6	86	6	86	3682	92	7	100	7	100	3831	95	6	86	6	86	3679	92	7	100	7	100	3755	94
Migrant	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	100	0	0	0	0	5	100	0	0	0	0	5	100	0	0	0	0	5	100

MODE OF		(Critica	Read	ing				Mathe	ematic	s				Wri	iting					Scie	ence		
	Sc	hool		AU	Si	ate	Scl	nool	s	AU	Sta	ate	Scl	nool	S	AU	St	ate	Sc	hool	S	AU	S	tate
PARTICIPATION ³	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Participation without accommodations	214	80	212	80	13042	84	223	84	221	83	13332	85	214	80	212	80	13042	84	217	82	215	81	13192	2 85
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	7	3	7	3	739	6	7	3	7	3	810	6	7	3	7	3	739	6	7	3	7	3	791	6
LEP	11	5	11	5	399	3	12	5	12	5	456	3	11	5	11	5	399	3	11	5	11	5	436	3
504 plan	1	0	1	0	196	2	1	0	1	0	204	2	1	0	1	0	196	2	1	0	1	0	201	2
Participation with accommodations	29	11	29	11	1623	10	29	11	29	11	1624	10	29	11	29	11	1625	10	29	11	29	11	1567	10
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	22	76	22	76	1117	69	22	76	22	76	1119	69	22	76	22	76	1119	69	22	76	22	76	1088	69
LEP	1	3	1	3	93	6	1	3	1	3	93	6	1	3	1	3	93	6	1	3	1	3	83	5
504 plan	0	0	0	0	58	4	0	0	0	0	58	4	0	0	0	0	58	4	0	0	0	0	55	4
Other	6	21	6	21	367	23	6	21	6	21	366	23	6	21	6	21	367	23	6	21	6	21	353	23
Participation through alternate assessment (PAAP)	3	1	4	2	209	1	3	1	4	2	209	1	3	1	4	2	202	1	3	1	4	2	202	1
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	3	100	4	100	209	100	3	100	4	100	209	100	3	100	4	100	202	100	3	100	4	100	202	100
LEP	0	0	0	0	15	7	0	0	0	0	15	7	0	0	0	0	15	7	0	0	0	0	15	7
504 plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Approved non-participation in reading – 1st year LEP	0	0	0	0	1	0																		
Approved non-participation – special consideration	0	0	0	0	36	0	0	0	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	0	36	0	0	0	0	0	38	0
Non-participation – other	20	8	20	8	693	4	11	4	11	4	399	3	20	8	20	8	699	4	17	6	17	6	605	4

¹ Percents are the percentage of students enrolled in each participation category.
3 Percents are the percentage of students in each content area by mode.

Maine High School Assessment

CRITICAL READING RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a studen on state-level assessments in relation to the reading standards for achieving Maine's <i>Learning</i>	•	ST	UDENTS .	AT EACH	ACHIEVE	MENT LEV	ΈL
Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling idea		Sch	nool	SA	AU	Sta	ite
standards within reading at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to multiple items in an "on demand" setting.	-choice	N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws in-depth inferences, analyzes texts for subtle clues, synthesizes information across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to make deeper connections within or across texts to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2005-2006	16	7	16	6	1079	7
	2006-2007	15	7	16	7	1168	8
	2007-2008	15	6	15	6	1184	8
	Cum. Total*	46	7	47	7	3431	8
Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2005-2006	92	39	94	37	5697	38
	2006-2007	75	34	75	34	5714	38
	2007-2008	80	33	79	33	5885	40
	Cum. Total*	247	36	248	35	17296	39
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's ability to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge varies depending on the texts as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1129-1140)	2005-2006	76	32	82	32	4772	32
	2006-2007	70	32	71	32	4728	31
	2007-2008	72	30	72	30	4093	28
	Cum. Total*	218	31	225	32	13593	30
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates a limited ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's responses are often incorrect leaving the impression that the student found it difficult to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, or uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1100-1128)	2005-2006	50	21	61	24	3595	24
	2006-2007	59	27	60	27	3444	23
	2007-2008	74	31	73	31	3417	23
	Cum. Total*	183	26	194	27	10456	23



CRITICAL READING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008

					Scł	nool							SA	ΑU					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		M		P		D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	241	15	6	80	33	72	30	74	31	1138	239	6	33	30	31	1138	14579	8	40	28	23	1141
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black	13	0	0	3	23	4	31	6	46	1132	13	0	23	31	46	1132	248	4	21	27	48	1132
American Indian or Native Alaskan	1										1						94	5	27	28	40	1134
Asian or Pacific Islander	3										3						192	4	35	30	31	1138
Hispanic	1										1						115	5	32	26	37	1136
Caucasian/White	223	13	6	76	34	67	30	67	30	1138	221	6	34	30	30	1138	13930	8	41	28	23	1141
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Identified disability																						
Yes	29	1	3	3	10	6	21	19	66	1127	29	3	10	21	66	1127	1823	1	9	24	65	1126
No	212	14	7	77	36	66	31	55	26	1140	210	7	36	31	26	1140	12756	9	45	29	17	1143
Current LEP																						
	10	4			17		05	6	50	1131	12	,	17	05	50	1131	488	3	00	04	50	1132
Yes	12	1 14	8	2 78	34	3	25		50		227	8	1	25 30	;		1	8	22	24	52	
No	229	14	٥	/6	34	69	30	68	30	1139	221	6	34	30	30	1139	14091	0	41	28	22	1141
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	6	0	0	1	17	1	17	4	67	1128	6	0	17	17	67	1128	3545	3	28	30	39	1134
No	235	15	6	79	34	71	30	70	30	1139	233	6	33	30	30	1139	11034	10	44	27	19	1143
Migrant																						
Yes	0										0						5	20	0	40	40	1136
No	241	15	6	80	33	72	30	74	31	1138	239	6	33	30	31	1138	14574	8	40	28	23	1141
Gender																						
Female	123	6	5	41	33	39	32	37	30	1138	122	5	33	32	30	1138	7237	8	42	30	19	1142
Male	118	9	8	39	33	33	28	37	31	1139	117	8	33	28	31	1139	7342	8	38	26	28	1140
Not Reported	0			"							0						0		-			
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	0		İ								0			İ			103	0	9	30	61	1127
No	241	15	6	80	33	72	30	74	31	1138	239	6	33	30	31	1138	14476	8	41	28	23	1141
						'-		'												-	-0	
Gifted/talented program	40		40	40			_		_	4404	4.0	40		_		4404	005	40	40			4402
Yes	19	8	42	10	53	1	5	0	0	1161	19	42	53	5	0	1161	295	48	48	4	0	1161
No	222	7	3	70	32	71	32	74	33	1136	220	3	31	32	33	1136	14284	7	40	29	24	1140



MATHEMATICS RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student on state-level assessments in relation to the mathematics standards for achieving Maine's <i>Lean</i>	•	STU	JDENTS A	T EACH A	CHIEVEN	IENT LEV	EL*
Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling idea	ntified	Sch	nool	SA	AU	Sta	ate
standards within mathematics at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a c of multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" se		N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make multiple connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to synthesize information, analyze and solve difficult or unfamiliar problems, and apply complex concepts. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2006-2007	5	2	5	2	578	4
	2007-2008	12	5	12	5	637	4
Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to reason, analyze and solve problems, and apply concepts. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2006-2007	71	32	71	31	5481	36
	2007-2008	80	32	79	32	5508	37
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates incomplete understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and inconsistent connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate some ability to analyze and solve problems and apply concepts. (scaled score 1133-1140)	2006-2007	65	29	64	28	4754	31
	2007-2008	86	34	85	34	5065	34
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates limited understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and infrequent or inaccurate connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate minimal ability to solve problems and apply concepts. (scaled score 1100-1132)	2006-2007	84	37	88	39	4607	30
	2007-2008	72	29	72	29	3660	25



MATHEMATICS RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008

DEDORTING					Scł	nool							S	ΑU					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		P		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	250	12	5	80	32	86	34	72	29	1140	248	5	32	34	29	1140	14870	4	37	34	25	1141
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black	13	1	8	1	8	3	23	8	62	1135	13	8	8	23	62	1135	274	1	12	31	57	1133
American Indian or Native Alaskan	1										1						96	2	24	30	44	1136
Asian or Pacific Islander	4										4			İ			200	8	37	34	22	1142
Hispanic	2										2						120	3	23	32	43	1138
Caucasian/White	230	9	4	78	34	81	35	62	27	1141	228	4	34	35	27	1141	14180	4	38	34	24	1141
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Identified disability															!							
Yes	29	0	0	4	14	5	17	20	69	1133	29	0	14	17	69	1133	1896	0	8	22	70	1130
No	221	12	5	76	34	81	37	52	24	1141	219	5	34	37	24	1141	12974	5	41	36	18	1142
NO	221	12		"	04		0,	32	2-7	1141	213		04	01		''	12374	3	1		10	1142
Current LEP																						
Yes	13	0	0	3	23	2	15	8	62	1134	13	0	23	15	62	1134	545	3	16	28	53	1135
No	237	12	5	77	32	84	35	64	27	1141	235	5	32	35	27	1141	14325	4	38	34	24	1141
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	7	0	0	0	0	1	14	6	86	1127	7	0	0	14	86	1127	3695	1	22	37	40	1136
No	243	12	5	80	33	85	35	66	27	1141	241	5	33	35	27	1141	11175	5	42	33	19	1142
Migrant																						
Yes	0										0						5	20	20	40	20	1144
No	250	12	5	80	32	86	34	72	29	1140	248	5	32	34	29	1140	14865	4	37	34	25	1141
Gender																						
Female	131	5	4	38	29	47	36	41	31	1140	130	4	28	36	32	1140	7362	3	36	36	24	1140
Male	119	7	6	42	35	39	33	31	26	1141	118	6	36	32	26	1141	7508	5	38	32	25	1141
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Title 1A towarded average																						
Title 1A targeted program	0										l ,						100	_		44	F4	1104
Yes	250	40	_	00	00	00	0.4	70	00	1110	0	_	00	04	00	1110	103	0 4	8	41	51	1134
No	250	12	5	80	32	86	34	72	29	1140	248	5	32	34	29	1140	14767	4	37	34	24	1141
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes	20	7	35	12	60	1	5	0	0	1160	20	35	60	5	0	1160	296	35	59	5	0	1158
No	230	5	2	68	30	85	37	72	31	1139	228	2	29	37	32	1139	14574	4	37	35	25	1140



WRITING RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008

SAU: **Auburn School Department Edward Little High School** School:

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL on state-level assessments in relation to the writing standards for achieving Maine's Learning Results. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified School SAU State standards within writing at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting. Ν % Ν % Ν % Exceeds the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate skillful ability to select clear, precise sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; 2005-2006 15 6 15 6 952 6 and to select revisions that add to the clarity, precision and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's 2006-2007 10 5 10 937 6 essay demonstrates an effectively developed and insightful point of view on the issue and outstanding 5 5 7 2007-2008 12 12 962 critical thinking, with clearly appropriate examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The 37 5 37 Cum. Total* 2851 essay is well-organized and clearly focused, demonstrating clear coherence and smooth progression of ideas and free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1161-1180) Meets the Standards - The student's responses demonstrate ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions 2005-2006 83 35 85 34 6055 40 that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates an 82 37 82 37 2006-2007 6167 41 effectively developed point of view on the issue and strong critical thinking, with generally appropriate 2007-2008 74 31 73 31 5564 38 examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The essay is well-organized and focused, Cum. Total* 239 34 240 17786 40 demonstrating coherence and progression of ideas and generally free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1141-1160) Partially Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate inconsistent ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage 2005-2006 78 33 88 4916 32 35 errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's 2006-2007 76 35 75 34 4723 31 essay demonstrates a developed point of view on the issue and some critical thinking, but may do so 2007-2008 80 33 80 33 4679 32 inconsistently or with inadequate examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay is 34 Cum. Total* 234 243 34 14318 32 generally organized and focused, but may demonstrate some lapses in coherence or progression of ideas and may contain errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1129-1140) Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate limited ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage 3221

2005-2006

2006-2007

2007-2008

Cum. Total*

58

51

75

184

25

23

31

27

65

55

74

194

26

25

31

27

3227

3376

9824

errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's

essay demonstrates a vague or seriously limited point of view on the issues and weak critical thinking,

with inappropriate or insufficient examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay

is poorly organized and/or focused and may contain an accumulation of errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics that interfere with understanding the message of the essay. (scaled score 1100-1128)

21

21

23

22



WRITING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008

					Scł	nool							S	ΑU					St	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		M		Р		D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	241	12	5	74	31	80	33	75	31	1137	239	5	31	33	31	1137	14581	7	38	32	23	1140
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black	13	0	0	1	8	4	31	8	62	1129	13	0	8	31	62	1129	248	2	19	30	49	1131
American Indian or Native Alaskan	1										1						94	3	19	38	39	1133
Asian or Pacific Islander	3										3						192	6	30	34	30	1137
Hispanic	1										1						115	2	30	36	33	1136
Caucasian/White	223	11	5	71	32	76	34	65	29	1138	221	5	32	34	29	1138	13932	7	39	32	22	1140
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Identified disability																						
Yes	29	0	0	3	10	5	17	21	72	1127	29	0	10	17	72	1127	1825	1	7	23	69	1125
No	212	12	6	71	33	75	35	54	25	1139	210	6	33	36	25	1139	12756	7	43	33	17	1142
Current LEP																						
Yes	12	0	0	2	17	3	25	7	58	1130	12	0	17	25	58	1130	488	3	19	29	49	1131
No	229	12	5	72	31	77	34	68	30	1138	227	5	31	34	30	1138	14093	7	39	32	22	1140
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	6	0	0	2	33	1	17	3	50	1133	6	0	33	17	50	1133	3546	2	25	35	38	1134
No	235	12	5	72	31	79	34	72	31	1138	233	5	30	34	30	1138	11035	8	42	31	18	1142
Migrant Yes	0										0						5	00	0	00	60	1131
No	241	12	5	74	31	80	33	75	31	1137	239	5	31	33	31	1137	14576	20 7	38	20 32	60 23	1140
INO	241	12		/4	31	00	33	/5	31	1137	259	5	31	33	31	1137	14370	'	30	32	20	1140
Gender																						
Female	123	8	7	41	33	38	31	36	29	1139	122	7	33	31	30	1138	7239	8	43	33	17	1142
Male	118	4	3	33	28	42	36	39	33	1136	117	3	28	36	32	1136	7342	6	34	31	30	1138
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	0										0						103	0	7	39	54	1128
No	241	12	5	74	31	80	33	75	31	1137	239	5	31	33	31	1137	14478	7	38	32	23	1140
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes	19	7	37	12	63	0	0	0	0	1159	19	37	63	0	0	1159	295	42	53	4	0	1159
No	222	5	2	62	28	80	36	75	34	1136	220	2	28	36	34	1136	14286	6	38	33	24	1139



SCIENCE RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008

SAU: Auburn School Department
School: Edward Little High School

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses on state-level assessments in relation to the science standards for achieving Maine's *Learning Results*. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified standards within science at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of

STUDENTS A	AT EACH ACHIEVEN	IENT LEVEL*
School	SAU	State

multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting	ng.	IN	70	IN IN	%	IN IN	70
Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of essential concepts in science, including the ability to make multiple connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to synthesize information, analyze and solve difficult problems using the processes of scientific inquiry, and explain complex concepts using evidence and proper terminology to support and communicate logical conclusions. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2007-2008	1	0	1	0	300	2
Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates a general understanding of essential concepts in science, including the ability to make connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to analyze and solve routine problems using the processes of scientific inquiry and explain central concepts with sufficient clarity and accuracy to demonstrate general understanding. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2007-2008	89	36	88	36	5927	40
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates incomplete understanding of essential concepts in science and inconsistent connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate some ability to analyze and solve problems using scientific inquiry but the quality of responses is inconsistent. Explanation of concepts may be incomplete or unclear. (scaled score 1135-1140)	2007-2008	55	22	55	23	3544	24
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates limited understanding of essential concepts in science and infrequent or inaccurate connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate minimal ability to solve problems and use the skills of scientific inquiry. There are many inaccuracies and explanations are illogical, incomplete, or missing. (scaled score 1100-1134)	2007-2008	101	41	100	41	4988	34

		nber	Avera	ge Points	s Attaine	d (Numbe	er and Pe	rcent)
Learning Results Content Standard Clusters		oints sible	Sch	iool	SA	AU	Sta	ate
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Cluster 1: Life Sciences	15	27	5.77	38.5	5.75	38.3	6.41	42.7
Cluster 2: Physical Sciences	14	25	6.08	43.4	6.09	43.5	6.22	44.4
Cluster 3: Earth and Space Sciences	14	25	4.86	34.7	4.85	34.6	5.04	36.0
Cluster 4: Nature and Implications of Science	13	23	6.31	48.5	6.28	48.3	6.59	50.7

Cluster 1: Life Sciences

A. Classifying Life Forms

B. Ecology

C. Cells

Cluster 2: Physical Sciences

E. Structure of Matter

H. Energy

I. Motion

Cluster 3: Earth and Space Sciences

D. Continuity and Change

F. The Earth

G. The Universe

Cluster 4: Nature and Implications of Science
J. Inquiry and Problem Solving

K. Scientific Reasoning

L. Communication

M. Implications of Science & Technology

Each content standard in the

Results, which are the basis

for science and technology

Grade Span Expectations.

expectation, which can be

found at http://www.maine.

gov/education/lsalt/gles.

Each item on the MHSA

measures a grade span

clusters shown is defined in Maine's 1997 *Learning*

^{*}Because science testing at the high school level resumed in 2008 after a two-year hiatus and new achievement level standards were set for the MHSA science test, historical data are not available.



SCIENCE RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008

REPORTING CATEGORIES	School										SAU						State					
	Tested		E		М		P		D		Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Jour	N	%	%	%	%	Jene
All Students	246	1	0	89	36	55	22	101	41	1139	244	0	36	23	41	1139	14759	2	40	24	34	1141
Ethnicity African American/Black American Indian or Native Alaskan Asian or Pacific Islander	12 1 4	0	0	1	8	2	17	9	75	1133	12 1 4	0	8	17	75	1133	269 92 199	0 1 3	20 24 36	14 28 25	65 47 36	1134 1138 1140
Hispanic Caucasian/White Not Reported	2 227 0	1	0	84	37	52	23	90	40	1140	2 225 0	0	37	23	40	1140	118 14081 0	1 2	26 41	19 24	54 33	1136 1141
Identified disability Yes No	29 217	0 1	0 0	5 84	17 39	3 52	10 24	21 80	72 37	1134 1140	29 215	0	17 39	10 24	72 37	1134 1140	1879 12880	0 2	11 44	17 25	72 28	1133 1142
Current LEP Yes No	12 234	0	0 0	1 88	8 38	1 54	8 23	10 91	83 39	1132 1140	12 232	0	8 38	8 23	83 39	1132 1140	519 14240	1 2	18 41	19 24	62 33	1134 1141
Economically disadvantaged Yes No	7 239	0	0	1 88	14 37	2 53	29 22	4 97	57 41	1135 1140	7 237	0	14 37	29 22	57 41	1135 1139	3651 11108	1	26 45	24 24	49 29	1137 1142
Migrant Yes No	0 246	1	0	89	36	55	22	101	41	1139	0 244	0	36	23	41	1139	5 14754	20 2	40 40	40 24	0 34	1146 1141
Gender Female Male Not Reported	128 118 0	0	0	42 47	33 40	22 33	17 28	64 37	50 31	1138 1141	127 117 0	0 1	32 40	17 28	50 31	1138 1141	7277 7482 0	1 3	37 43	26 22	36 32	1140 1141
Title 1A targeted program Yes No	0 246	1	0	89	36	55	22	101	41	1139	0 244	0	36	23	41	1139	100 14659	1 2	5 40	22 24	72 34	1133 1141
Gifted/talented program Yes No	20 226	1	5 0	18 71	90 31	1 54	5 24	0 101	0 45	1152 1138	20 224	5 0	90 31	5 24	0 45	1152 1138	296 14463	13 2	80 39	5 24	3 34	1152 1140